Ramin R. Younessi, Esq. (SBN 175020) ryounessi@younessilaw.com Samantha L. Ortiz, Esq. (SBN 312503) sortiz@younessilaw.com LAW OFFICES OF RAMIN R. YOUNESSI 3 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION Superior Court of California 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200 County of Los Angeles 4 Los Angeles, California 90010 RECEIVED Telephone: (213) 480-6200 5 Facsimile: (213) 480-6201 Sherri R. Carles Executive Officer/Clerk of Court JUN 02 2021 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRICK MAGRDCHIAN 7 Room 106 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER 10 11 PATRICK MAGRDCHIAN, individually and Case No.: BC709657 on behalf of himself and others similarly 12 situated, **CLASS ACTION** 13 Plaintiff, Assigned to the Honorable Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. SSC12 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF 15 TPPJ S. PASADENA, LLC., a California FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION limited liability company; and DOES 1 SETTLEMENT 16 through 20, inclusive, Non-Appearance Case Review Date: June 7, 2021 17 Defendants. Time: 4:30 p.m. 18 Dept.: 12 19 Action filed: June 13, 2018 20 First Amended Complaint filed: November 2, 2018 21 22 The Court, having read the papers filed with regard to Plaintiff's unopposed motion for final approval of a class action settlement, and having heard argument on the motion on May 20, 2021, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows: 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter and over all parties to the action, including the 25 members of the Settlement Class. 26 27 2. The Revised Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release between Plaintiff and Defendants ("Revised Stipulation") is the product of arms-length negotiations between the Parties and 28

the terms of the Revised Stipulation are fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Revised Stipulation therefore is finally approved, and its terms incorporated herein. The Court orders the parties to the Stipulation to perform forthwith their respective duties and obligations under the Revised Stipulation.

- 3. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. The Settlement Class, which was provisionally certified by the Court in its November 2, 2020 Order Granting Preliminary Approval, hereby is certified under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 for purposes of settlement only. The Class Members include "all persons who are or have been employed as nonexempt employees for Defendants in the State of California at any time from June 13, 2014 through March 31, 2019." The Settlement Class includes "those Class Members who have not opted out of the Class by submitting timely Requests for Exclusion."
- 5. The Court adjudges the Class members, to the extent provided by the Stipulation, be deemed to have conclusively released and discharged the Released Parties (as defined in the Revised Stipulation) from the Released Claims (as defined in the Stipulation).
- 6. As of the Fairness Hearing on May 20, 2021, zero individuals had submitted valid and timely requests to be excluded from the Settlement. Further, zero individuals submitted written objections to the Settlement nor did any individuals appear at the Fairness Hearing to make an oral objection to the Settlement.
- 7. The Claims Administrator is ordered to distribute to the Settlement Class Members their respective shares of the Net Settlement Amount as provided in the Stipulation. Funds attributable to uncashed checks that remain after the void date shall be distributed to Bet Tzedek Legal Services. No funds shall revert to Defendants.
- 8. The fees requests for Class Counsel are appropriate and reasonable in light of the benefit provided to the Class Members. Further, the costs incurred by Class Counsel were reasonable and necessary for the successful prosecution of the case. Accordingly, the Court approves Class Counsel's request for an award of attorney's fees in the amount of \$50,000.00 and award of costs and expenses in the amount of \$2,000.00. Such amounts shall be paid as provided in the Revised Stipulation.

- 9. The Revised Stipulation provides that Plaintiff and Class Representative Patrick
 Magrdchian shall be paid an Enhancement Payment or service award from the Gross Settlement Amount
 in the amount of \$10,000 for his services as Class Representative and in consideration for his execution
 of a full and complete waiver of all known and unknown claims against Defendants. However, the Court
 finds that an Enhancement Payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$7,500.00 is more reasonable given the
 risks Plaintiff assumed and the amount of time Plaintiff spent assisting with prosecuting the case. The
 requested amount also is within the range of reasonableness for such awards approved in other cases.
 Accordingly, the Court approves an Enhancement Payment to Patrick Magrdchian in the amount of
 \$7,500.00, and the Claims Administrator is ordered to make such payment consistent with the terms of
 the Revised Stipulation.
- 10. The Court finds and determines the payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$4,999.50 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code Sections 2698, et seq., is fair, appropriate and reasonable. The Court hereby finally approves said payment and orders the payment be made in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.
- 11. The Revised Stipulation provides that the Claims Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount in an amount not to exceed \$6,500.00. As set forth in the Declaration of Tim Cunningham on behalf of CPT Group, Inc., the Claims Administrator is owed \$8,000.00 for services rendered and to be rendered in administering the settlement, with the increase attributable to the need to remit unclaimed funds to Bet Tzedek Legal Services. The Court nevertheless orders that CPT Group, Inc. be paid in the amount of \$6,500.00 from the Settlement consistent with the terms of the Revised Stipulation.
- 12. Under California Rule of Court, Rule 3.769(h), without affecting the finality of this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over: (1) implementation and enforcement of the Stipulation pursuant to further orders of this Court until the final Judgment contemplated becomes effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties has been performed under the terms of the Revised Stipulation; (2) any other action necessary to conclude this settlement and to

implement the Stipulation; and (3) the enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Revised Stipulation.

- 13. Neither this Order nor the Revised Stipulation upon which it is based are an admission or concession by any party of any fault, omission, liability or wrongdoing. This Order is not a finding of the validity or invalidity of any claims in this action or a determination of any wrongdoing by any party. The final approval of the parties' settlement will not constitute any opinion, position or determination of this Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses of any party.
- 14. Judgment is hereby entered as follows: Plaintiff Patrick Magrdchian and the Settlement Class members, consisting of all current and former nonexempt employees of Defendants at any time between June 13, 2014 and March 31, 2019, and who have not affirmatively and timely submitted Requests for Exclusion to opt-out of the Settlement, shall take nothing from Defendants TPPJ S. Pasadena, LLC and Tomato Pie Pizza Joint Silver Lake, Inc. except as set forth in the Revised Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release between Plaintiff and Defendants. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to interpret, implement and enforce this Judgment.
- 15. The Claims Administrator shall file a final report regarding the final distribution of funds pursuant to the terms of the Revised Stipulation by January 17, 2022. A non-appearance case review hearing is set for January 24, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: XWW 18 20 c

HONORABLE CAROLYN B. KUHL JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, California 90010.

Angeles, Calif	formia 90010.	
	ne 2, 2021, I served the foregoing documer OF FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS sted parties in this action as follows:	nent described as [PROPOSED] ORDER AND ACTION SETTLEMENT
\boxtimes	By emailing true copies addressed to each addressee as follows:	
alex@ha HACKI 597 Moi	lra Buechner, Esq. acklerflynnlaw.com ER FLYNN & ASSOCIATES, APC nterey Pass Road by Park, California 91754	Attorneys for Defendants TPPJS. PASADENA, LLC and TOMATO PIE PIZZA JOINT SILVER LAKE, INC.
ONLY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Only by e-mailing the document(s) to the persons at the e-mail address(es) listed based on notice provided that, during the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, this office will be working remotely, not able to send physical mail as usual, and is therefore using only electronic mail. No electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful was received within a reasonable time after the transmission.		
Executed on J	une 2, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.	
\boxtimes	STATE I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
	FEDERAL I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.	
Christian Orti	Z	<u>Coff</u>

-5-